arXiv:1405.1599v1 [math.CO] 7 May 2014

Hamiltonian Cycles in Polyhedral Maps

Dipendu Maity and Ashish Kumar Upadhyay

Department of Mathematics
Indian Institute of Technology Patna
Patliputra Colony, Patna 800 013, India.
{dipendumaity, upadhyay}@iitp.ac.in

May 8, 2014

Abstract

We present a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of a contractible, non-separating and noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle in the edge graph of polyhedral maps on surfaces. In particular, we show the existence of contractible Hamiltonian cycle in equivelar triangulated maps. We also present an algorithm to construct such cycles whenever it exists.

AMS classification : 57M20, 57N05, 05C38.

Keywords : Contractible Hamiltonian Cycles, Non-separating Hamiltonian Cycles, Noncontractible Separating Hamiltonian Cycles, Proper Graphs in Polyhedral Maps.

1 Introduction and Definitions

By a graph $G := (V, E)$ we mean a finite simple graph with vertex set V and edge set E. Let $G_1(V_1, E_1)$ and $G_2(V_2, E_2)$ be two simple graphs embedded on a surface. Then $G_1 \cup G_2$ is a graph $G(V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ and $E = E_1 \cup E_2$. Similarly, $G_1 \cap G_2$ is a graph $G(V, E)$ where $V = V_1 \cap V_2$ and $E = E_1 \cap E_2$, see [3]. A *connected component* or *component* of a graph is a subgraph in which any two vertices are connected by a path. We call H_1, H_2, ..., H_k components of G if $G = H_1 \cup H_2 \cup \cdots \cup H_k$ and there is no path between the vertices of H_i and H_j for $i \neq j$. A *surface* S is a 2-dimensional manifold which is connected, compact and without boundary. A *map* on a surface S is an embedding of a graph G such that the closure of each component of $S \setminus G$ is a p-gonal 2-disc for $p \geq 3$. The components are called *faces* of the map and the vertices and edges of the embedded graph G are called vertices and edges of the map. The map M is called a *polyhedral map* if intersection of any two faces of M is either empty, a vertex or an edge, see [4]. A map M is said to be a *triangulation* of the surface if each face of the map is a 3-gon. We call G the edge graph of M and denote it by $EG(M)$. A map is called *equivelar triangulation* if each vertex of the map M has same degree. We will use the terms map and polyhedral map interchangeably to mean a polyhedral map. A path P in a graph G is a subgraph of G, such that the vertex set of P is $V(P) = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\} \subseteq V(G)$ and v_iv_{i+1} are edges in P for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. A path P in G is said to be a cycle if v_nv_1 is also an edge in P and denote it by $C(v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n)$. A graph without any cycles is called an *acyclic* graph, see [3]. Length $l(C)$ of a cycle C is the number of edges in C. See [15] for details about graphs on surfaces and [3] for graph theory related terminology.

A cycle of a graph is said to be a Hamiltonian cycle if it contains all the vertices of the graph. In this article, we are interested in finding out whether a Hamiltonian cycle exists in the edge graph of a polyhedral map? In this context, Tutte [17] has shown that every 4-connected planar graph has a Hamiltonian cycle. In 1970, Grünbaum [8] has conjectured that every 4-connected graph which admits an embedding in the torus has a Hamiltonian cycle. We summarize the known partial results related to the solution of Grünbaum's conjecture. Altshuler [1] has shown that every 4 and 6-connected equivelar map of types $\{4, 4\}$ and $\{3, 6\}$, respectively, on the torus has Hamiltonian cycles. Brunet and Richter[6] have shown that every 5-connected triangulations on torus is Hamiltonian and then, Thomas and Yu[16] improved this result for any 5-connected graph on the torus. Brunet, Nakamoto and Negami [5] have shown that every 5-connected triangulated Klein bottle is Hamiltonian. In [7] it is shown that a 3-connected bipartite graph embeddable in torus has a Hamiltonian cycle if it is balanced and each vertex of one of its partite sets has degree four. Kawarabayashi and Ozeki[10] have shown that every 4-connected triangulated torus is Hamiltonian. In [13] we have extended this result to any 4-connected semi-equivelar map, see definition of *semi-equivelar map* in [13]. In this article, we show every equivelar triangulated map is Hamiltonian. Topologically, such cycles in maps may or may not be homotopic to the generators of fundamental group of the surface on which they lie. The cycles which are homotopic to a generator are called *essential or non-separating cycles*. Those which are homotopic to a point are called *inessential or contractible cycles*. Those which are not homotopic to both point and generator are called *noncontractible separating cycles*. Among these, contractible Hamiltonian cycles have been investigated in [12, 18]. We have given a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of contractible Hamiltonian cycles by introducing *proper tree* in the dual map (defined later) of polyhedral maps. Separating cycles in triangulations of the double torus studied in [11]. Archdeacon[2] has given a survey of such cycles in maps. Thus naturally led to think about existence of a graph in the dual which may give information about such types of Hamiltonian cycles. In this article our focus is on finding such cycles in polyhedral maps. We accomplish this by introducing *proper graph* (see, Definition 1).

We begin with some definitions which will be needed in the course of proof of main Theorem 1, 2, 3 and 4. For more details on these topics one may also refer to [14].

Let v be a vertex of a map K. The *degree* of a vertex v is denoted by $\deg(v)$ and it is the number of edges incident with v. If we denote the number of vertices, edges and faces of K by $f_0(K)$, $f_1(K)$ and $f_2(K)$ respectively, then the *Euler characteristic of* K is the integer $\chi(K) = f_0(K) - f_1(K) + f_2(K)$. The *dual map* of a map M is by definition a map on same surface S as M which has a vertex corresponding to each face of M, and an edge joining two neighboring faces for each edge in M. Let K be a polyhedral map on a surface S. Let M denote the dual map of K and $C(u_1, \ldots, u_r)$ be a non-separating cycle in K. We consider the dual edges of C and put in E. Let $V = \cup_{e \in E} V(e)$. The graph $G := (V, E)$ is said to be *dual graph* corresponding to the cycle C in M. In Section 2 we give examples of maps on torus. These are well known maps of type $\{3, 6\}$ and $\{6, 3\}$ and are examples of mutually dual maps. Let K' be a subset of set of faces of K and $D = \cup_{\sigma \in K'} \sigma$. If D is topologically a 2-disc then we will call it a *2-disc* in K. A vertex v of $EG(M)$ is called *cut vertex* if its removal graph becomes disconnected. Similarly, we call a cycle C in M is *cut cycle* if it divides F_M into disjoint set of faces. Similarly, *cut graph* is defined. Consider a polyhedral map K on a surface S that has n vertices. Let M denote the dual map of K. Let $T := (V, E)$ denote a tree in the edge graph $EG(M)$ of M. We say that T is a *proper tree*[12]

if the following conditions hold : (1) $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \deg(v_i) = n + 2(k-1)$, where $V = \{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_k\}$ and $\deg(v)$ denotes degree of v in $EG(M)$ (2) whenever two vertices u_1 and u_2 of T lie on a face F in M, a path $P[u_1, u_2]$ joining u_1 and u_2 in the boundary ∂F of F is a subtree of T, and (3) any path P in T which lies in a face F of M is of length at most $q-2$, where $q =$ length of (∂F).

Let M be a polyhedral map which is the dual map of a polyhedral map K on n vertices. We call (V_M, E_M, F_M) the map where V_M, E_M and F_M denote the set of vertices, edges and faces of M.

***Definition* 1** *Let $G = (V, E)$ denote a subgraph of $EG(M) := (V_M, E_M)$. We say that G is an* admissible graph *if the following conditions hold :*

1. *$|E| = n$, that is, G consists of n edges.*
2. *For each $F \in F_M$, the set $F \cap E$ contains exactly two edges of E.*
3. *There exists a finite sequence of n faces, namely, F_1, F_2, ..., F_n such that $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $F_1 \cap F_n \in E$.*

We say that G is a proper graph of type-I if the graph $H = (V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ is connected and call G a proper graph of type-II if H has exactly two components one of which is a proper tree. If the graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components where none of them is proper tree then G is called a proper graph of type-III.

The main result of this article is :

THEOREM **1** *The edge graph $EG(M)$ of a map M has a non-separating Hamiltonian cycle if and only if the edge graph of the dual map of M has a proper graph of type-I.*

In [12] we have presented a necessary and sufficient condition for existence of a contractible Hamiltonian cycle in a polyhedral map using a tree. Here we present the criterion in terms of a more general graph and show :

THEOREM **2** *The edge graph $EG(M)$ of a map M has a contractible Hamiltonian cycle if and only if the edge graph of the dual map of M has a proper graph of type-II.*

THEOREM **3** *The edge graph $EG(K)$ of a map K has a noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle if and only if the edge graph of corresponding dual map of K has a proper graph of type-III.*

We have defined the graphs, namely, proper graph of type-I, proper graph of type-II and proper graph of type-III. A triangulated map is called *equivelar* if degree of each vertex is same. We show the existence of proper graph of type-II in equivelar triangulated maps. This leads to the result,

THEOREM **4** *Equivelar triangulated map is Hamiltonian.*

In Section 2, we give examples of proper graphs of type-I, II, III and their corresponding cycles. In Section 3, 4 and 5 we present some properties of proper graphs and proceed to prove the main result of this article. In Section 10, we show the existence of proper graph of type-II in equivelar triangulated maps. We give two different algorithms in Section 12 and 13 to detect a non-contractible Hamiltonian cycle. The algorithm 12 is not implemented by us where as the algorithm 13 is implemented.

2 Example of proper graphs and their corresponding cycles

We consider two well known maps of types $\{3,6\}$ and $\{6,3\}$ on the torus. Let $M_1 := \{u_{11}u_{12}u_{14},\ u_{11}u_{13}u_{14},\ u_{12}u_{13}u_{15},\ u_{12}u_{14}u_{15},\ u_{13}u_{14}u_{16},\ u_{13}u_{15}u_{16},\ u_{14}u_{15}u_{17},\ u_{14}u_{16}u_{17},\ u_{15}u_{16}u_{11},\ u_{15}u_{17}u_{11},\ u_{16}u_{17}u_{12},\ u_{16}u_{11}u_{12},\ u_{17}u_{11}u_{13},\ u_{17}u_{12}u_{13}\}$ and $K_1 := \{[v_1,\ v_2,\ v_3,\ v_8,\ v_7,\ v_6],\ [v_3,\ v_4,\ v_5,\ v_{10},\ v_9,\ v_8],\ [v_5,\ v_6,\ v_7,\ v_{12},\ v_{11},\ v_{10}],\ [v_7,\ v_8,\ v_9,\ v_{14},\ v_{13},\ v_{12}],\ [v_9,\ v_{10},\ v_{11},\ v_2,\ v_1,\ v_{14}],\ [v_{11},\ v_{12},\ v_{13},\ v_4,\ v_3,\ v_2],\ [v_{13},\ v_{14},\ v_1,\ v_6,\ v_5,\ v_4]\}$. The M_1 and K_1 are dual to each other. We consider proper graphs of type-I, II in K_1. Let $G_1 = (V_1,\ E_1)$ where $V_1 = \{v_i \mid i \in \{1,\ \ldots,\ 14\}\}$ and $E_1 = \{v_iv_{5+i} \mid i \in \{1,\ 3,\ 5,\ 7,\ 9\}\} \cup \{v_iv_{9+i} \mid i \in \{2,\ 4\}\}$ and $G_2 = (V_2,\ E_2)$ where $V_2 = \{v_i \mid i \in \{1,\ 2,\ 3,\ 4,\ 5,\ 8,\ 10,\ 11,\ 12,\ 13,\ 14\}\}$ and $E_2 = \{v_1v_2,\ v_3v_8,\ v_4v_5,\ v_{10}v_{11},\ v_{11}v_{12},\ v_{12}v_{13},\ v_{13}v_{14}\}$. The graph G_1 is of type-I and G_2 is of type-II. Now consider dual of G_1 and G_2 in M_1. We get the cycle $C_1(u_{11},\ u_{12},\ \ldots,\ u_{17})$ which is corresponding to G_1 and non-contractible. Also, the cycle $C_2(u_{11},\ u_{14},\ u_{15},\ u_{13},\ u_{17},\ u_{12},\ u_{16})$ which is corresponding to disconnected graph G_2 and contractible.

Let $K := \{126,\ 267,\ 237,\ 378,\ 348,\ 489,\ 459,\ 59a,\ 56a,\ 6ab,\ 67b,\ 7bc,\ 78c,\ 18c,\ 189,\ 129,\ 29a,\ 23a,\ 3ab,\ 34b,\ 4bc,\ 45c,\ 15c,\ 16l,\ 6lf,\ ldf,\ dfg,\ deg,\ egh,\ 5eh,\ 5hi,\ 56i,\ 6ij,\ 6fj,\ fjk,\ fgk,\ 1gk,\ 1gh,\ 1lh,\ lhi,\ ldi,\ dij,\ dej,\ ejk,\ 5ek,\ 15k\}$ be a triangulation of double torus. Let $M := \{[a_1,\ b_7,\ b_6,\ b_5,\ c_5,\ f_1,\ e_1,\ e_2,\ e_3,\ c_6],\ [a_1,\ a_2,\ a_3,\ b_9,\ b_8,\ b_7],\ [a_3,\ a_4,\ a_5,\ c_2,\ c_1,\ b_9],\ [a_5,\ a_6,\ a_7,\ c_4,\ c_3,\ c_2],\ [a_7,\ a_8,\ a_9,\ d_5,\ d_4,\ d_3,\ e_9,\ f_1,\ c_5,\ c_4],\ [a_9,\ b_1,\ b_2,\ a_2,\ a_1,\ c_6,\ c_7,\ d_7,\ d_6,\ d_5],\ [b_2,\ b_3,\ b_4,\ a_4,\ a_3,\ a_2],\ [b_4,\ b_5,\ b_6,\ a_6,\ a_5,\ a_4],\ [b_6,\ b_7,\ b_8,\ a_8,\ a_7,\ a_6],\ [b_8,\ b_9,\ c_1,\ b_1,\ a_9,\ a_8],\ [c_1,\ c_2,\ c_3,\ b_3,\ b_2,\ b_1],\ [c_3,\ c_4,\ c_5,\ b_5,\ b_4,\ b_3],\ [c_6,\ c_7,\ c_8,\ e_5,\ e_4,\ e_3],\ [c_8,\ c_9,\ d_1,\ e_7,\ e_6,\ e_5],\ [d_1,\ d_2,\ d_3,\ e_9,\ e_8,\ e_7],\ [d_7,\ d_8,\ d_9,\ c_9,\ c_8,\ c_7],\ [d_9,\ e_1,\ e_2,\ d_2,\ d_1,\ c_9],\ [e_2,\ e_3,\ e_4,\ d_4,\ d_3,\ d_2],\ [e_4,\ e_5,\ e_6,\ d_6,\ d_5,\ d_4],\ [e_6,\ e_7,\ e_8,\ d_8,\ d_7,\ d_6],\ [e_8,\ e_9,\ f_1,\ e_1,\ d_9,\ d_8]\}$. The map M is dual of K. Let $E_3 = \{a_1c_6,\ a_9b_1,\ b_1c_1,\ b_2b_3,\ b_3b_4,\ c_4c_5,\ e_9f_1,\ e_8d_8,\ d_7d_8,\ c_7c_8,\ e_4e_5,\ e_5e_6,\ d_1e_7,\ d_2d_3,\ d_2e_2,\ e_1e_2\}$ and $V_3 := \{a_1,\ c_6,\ a_9,\ b_1,\ c_1,\ b_2,\ b_3,\ b_4,\ c_4,\ c_5,\ e_9,\ f_1,\ e_8,\ d_8,\ d_7,\ c_7,\ c_8,\ e_4,\ e_5,\ e_6,\ d_1,\ e_7,\ d_2,\ d_3,\ d_2,\ e_2,\ e_1\}$. The graph $G_3(V_3, E_3)$ satisfies all the properties of proper graph of type-III. So, graph G_3 is of type-III. Consider dual of G_3 in K. We get the cycle $C_3(1,\ 6,\ a,\ b,\ 7,\ c,\ 5,\ k,\ j,\ f,\ l,\ i,\ d,\ e,\ h,\ g)$. The cycle C_3 is noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle.

3 Properties of proper graph of type-I :

Let K be a polyhedral map on a surface S with n vertices and M denote its dual. Let $C(u_1,\ \ldots,\ u_n)$ be a non-separating Hamiltonian cycle in K. We consider $G(V, E)$ the dual graph corresponding to the cycle C in M. We claim the following lemma.

LEMMA **3.1** *The graph G contains n edges.*

PROOF OF LEMMA3.1 : The cycle C consists of n edges. By the definition of duality, we get an edge in M for an edge of C. So, we get n distinct edges corresponding to n edges of C. Therefore, G contains n edges. This completes the proof lemma 3.1. □

LEMMA **3.2** *Let F be a face of M. Then, $F \cap E$ contains exactly two edges of $EG(M)$.*

PROOF OF LEMMA3.2 : Let v be a vertex of K. The link of v is a cycle, say $C_1(v_1,\ \ldots,\ v_r)$. Since the cycle C is Hamiltonian cycle in $EG(K)$, so, it contains all the vertices of K and hence, $v \in V(C)$. Also, the cycle C passes through two vertices, say $v_i,\ v_j$ of C_1 where the edges vv_i and vv_j are in C. Since the degree of each vertex in C is 2 therefore, there is no

edge vv_k for which $v_k \in V(C_1) \setminus \{v_i, v_j\}$ in C. Now consider the dual face corresponding to v in M and denote it by F. Also, consider the edges which are dual of vv_i and vv_j. We get that exactly two edges are common in G and F and that there is no other edge of G which also belongs to F. Since, choice of v was arbitrary this is true for all the faces of M. Therefore, any face of M contains exactly two edges of G. This completes the proof of lemma 3.2. □

LEMMA **3.3** *There exists a face sequence $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ in M such that $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $F_1 \cap F_n \in E$.*

PROOF OF LEMMA3.3 : Let F_i denote the faces in M which are the dual faces corresponding to vertices u_i of $C(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ in K. Then F_i and F_{i+1} have an edge in common for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and so does F_1 and F_n. Since u_{i-1} and u_{i+1} lie in the link of u_i, by the argument in proof of previous lemma 3.2 it follows that the common edges lie in E. Hence we get a sequence of faces $F_1, \ldots, F_n$ where $F_1 \cap F_n \in E$ and $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. This completes the proof of lemma 3.3. □

LEMMA **3.4** *The graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ is connected.*

PROOF OF LEMMA3.4 : Let u_{t_1} and u_{t_r} be two vertices of $G_1 := G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$. We show the existence of a path between u_{t_1} and u_{t_r} in G_1. We call $FS(A, B) := \{A = F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_r = B \mid F_i \cap F_{i+1}$ is an edge for $1 \leq i \leq r-1\}$ a face sequence between two faces A and B. Let $CEFS(A, B) := \{e_i \mid e_i = F_i \cap F_{i+1}$ and $F_i, F_{i+1} \in FS(A, B)\}$. The set $CEFS(A, B)$ is a set of common edges between the successive faces of $FS(A, B)$. Let $F_{u_{t_1}}$ and $F_{u_{t_r}}$ be two dual faces corresponding to u_{t_1} and u_{t_r} respectively in K. The map K is connected polyhedral map. So, we get a face sequence $FS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}})$. We claim that there exists a face sequence $FS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}})$ such that $CEFS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}}) \cap E(C) = \emptyset$. Suppose, there is no such face sequence $FS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}})$ in K. That is, for every $FS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}})$, $CEFS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}}) \cap E(C) \neq \emptyset$. This imply that, the cycle C is cut cycle. Let C divides $F(K)$ into disjoint set of faces, namely, $H_1, \ldots, H_t$. Then, each edge of $|H_i|$ is either identified or on the boundary. The edges which are on the boundary of $|H_i|$ belongs to $E(C)$. So, $\cup_{i=1}^{t} \partial|H_i| = C$. Consider adjacent faces of C. It has two sequences, namely, $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_{r1}$ and $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{r2}$ such that non empty intersection $F_i \cap Q_j$ is part of C. Without loss of generality, we assume that $F_i \in H_1$ and $Q_j \in H_2$ for all i and j. Then, $\partial|H_i| = C$ for $i = 1, 2$. This gives, $t = 2$. Thus, $H_1 \cup H_2 = F(K)$ and $\partial|H_1| \cap \partial|H_2| = C$. So, the cycle C is separating. This is a contradiction as C is non-separating. Therefore, there exits a face sequence $FS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}})$ with $CEFS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}}) \cap E = \emptyset$. We consider dual graph, say, G_d of the face sequence $FS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}})$. The graph G_d is connected as between any two consecutive faces of $FS(F_{u_{t_1}}, F_{u_{t_r}})$ have a common edge. So, the graph G_d contains a path$(u_{t_1} \to u_{t_r})$ in $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$. This is true for any two vertices of $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$. Therefore, the graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ is connected. This completes the proof of lemma 3.4. □

LEMMA **3.5** *Let G_1 be a proper graph of type-I in M and C_1 be its dual in K. Suppose, $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(G_1))$ is connected. Then, C_1 is non-separating.*

PROOF OF LEMMA3.5 : The graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(G_1))$ is connected. We show the cycle C_1 is non-separating. Suppose C_1 is separating. We use some arguments and definitions of lemma 3.4. The cycle C_1 divides $F(K)$ into two sets, say N_1 and N_2 where $N_1 \cap N_2 = \emptyset$,

$N_1 \cup N_2 = F(K)$ and $|N_1| \cap |N_2| = C_1$. Let $F_i \in N_1$ and $F_j \in N_2$ be two faces of K and v_k be dual vertices corresponding to F_k. Consider a face sequence $FS(F_i, F_j)$ between F_i and F_j in K. By assumption, $F_i \in N_1$, $F_j \in N_2$ and $|N_1| \cap |N_2| = C_1$. So, $CEFS(F_i, F_j) \cap E(C_1) \neq \emptyset$ and it does for any face sequence $FS(F_i, F_j)$. Let $CEFS(F_i, F_j) \cap E(C_1) = \{e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_s\}$. Consider dual of the face sequence $FS(F_i, F_j)$ and denote it by H. The graph H is connected. The vertices $v_i, v_j \in H$. So, there is a path $P(v_i \to v_j)$ in H. Let d_i be dual edges corresponding to e_i. So, path $P(v_i \to v_j)$ contains some d_i. Thus, for arbitrary path $P(v_i \to v_j)$ in H, $E(P) \cap E(G_1) \neq \emptyset$. We restrict the path P in $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(G_1))$. We get a disconnection between v_i and v_j. So, there is no path between v_i and v_j in $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(G_1))$. This gives a contradiction as $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(G_1))$ is connected. Therefore C_1 is non-separating. This completes the proof of lemma 3.5. □

4 Properties of proper graph of type-II :

Let K be a polyhedral map on n vertices. We consider the dual map of K and denote it by M. Let $C(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ be a contractible Hamiltonian cycle in K and $G := (V, E)$ be its dual graph. We claim the following lemma.

LEMMA **4.1** *The graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components where one of them is proper tree.*

PROOF OF LEMMA4.1 : The cycle C is contractible. So, cycle C bounds a 2-disk. Let $D \subset F(K)$ such that $\partial|D| = C$ and $|D|$ is homeomorphic to 2-disk. Let $K_1 = D$ and $K_2 = F(K) \setminus D$. Then, $\partial|K_1| = C$, $\partial|K_2| = C$ and $\partial|K_1| \cap \partial|K_2| = C$. Let M_i be dual of K_i. Then, $EG(M) = EG(M_1) \cup EG(M_2) \cup G$. Consider adjacent faces of cycle $C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n)$. It has two sequences, namely, $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ and $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n$ where $F_i \cap Q_i = u_i u_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \{1, \ldots, n-1\}$ and $F_n \cap Q_n = u_n u_1$. Without loss of generality, we assume that $F_i \in K_1$ and $Q_i \in K_2$ for all i. For each i, consider dual edges corresponding to $u_i u_{i+1}$ and denote it by $v_i v_{i+1}$. By definition of G, $E = \{v_i v_{i+1} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{v_n v_1\}$. Let $F_u \in K_1$ and $F_w \in K_2$ and u, w be dual vertices corresponding to faces F_u and F_w respectively. By construction, $\partial|K_1| \cap \partial|K_2| = C$. So, $Q(u \to w) \cap E \neq \emptyset$. It is true for arbitrary path $Q(u \to w)$. Thus, the set E is cut set. The set E divides $EG(M)$ into two components $EG(M_1)$ and $EG(M_2)$ and $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E) = EG(M_1) \cup EG(M_2)$. So, $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components $EG(M_1)$ and $EG(M_2)$. Again, by assumption, D is 2-disk, $\partial|D| = C$ and M_1 is dual of D. So, $EG(M_1)$ is proper tree (by the *lemma* 5.2[12]). Therefore, one of the component of $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ is proper tree. This completes the proof of the lemma 4.1. □

LEMMA **4.2** *Let H_1 be a proper graph of type-II in M and C_1 be its dual in K. Suppose, graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(H_1))$ consists two components, say G_1 and G_2. The graph G_1 is a proper tree. Then, C_1 is contractible.*

PROOF OF LEMMA4.2 : The graph G_1 is proper tree. By *lemma 5.2*[12], the dual of G_1 is 2-disk, say D and bounded by a Hamiltonian cycle, say C_2. By remark 1, G_1 defines H_1 and H_1 is proper graph of type-II. By the argument of theorem 5, H_1 and G_1 define same cycle. That is, $\partial|D| = C_1 = C_2$. Therefore, the cycle C_1 is contractible Hamiltonian cycle. This completes the proof of lemma 4.2. □

5 Properties of proper graph of type-III :

Let K be a polyhedral map on n vertices. We consider the dual map of K and denote it by M. Let $C(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ be a noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle in K and $G := (V, E)$ be its dual graph in M. We claim the following lemma.

LEMMA **5.1** *The graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components where none of them is proper tree.*

PROOF OF LEMMA9.1 : The cycle C is separating. So, C divides $F(K)$ into two sets, say T_1 and T_2 where $T_1 \cap T_2 = \emptyset$, $T_1 \cup T_2 = F(K)$ and $\partial|T_1| \cap \partial|T_2| = C$. We follow similar argument of lemma 4.1. Consider adjacent faces of C. It has two sequences, namely, $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ in T_1 and $Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_n$ in T_2 where $F_i \cap Q_i = u_i u_{i+1}$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ and $F_n \cap Q_n = u_n u_1$. For each i, consider dual edges of $u_i u_{i+1}$ and denote it by $v_i v_{i+1}$. By definition of G, $E = \{v_i v_{i+1} : 1 \leq i \leq n-1\} \cup \{v_1 v_n\}$. Consider dual maps corresponding to T_i and denote it by X_i. Thus, $EG(M) = EG(X_1) \cup EG(X_2) \cup G$ and G is a cut graph. Therefore, $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E) = EG(X_1) \cup EG(X_2)$ has two components $EG(X_1)$ and $EG(X_2)$. Now, we show that none of $EG(M_1)$ and $EG(M_2)$ is proper tree. Suppose $EG(M_1)$ is proper tree. Then, by remark 1, the graph G is proper graph of type-II. This gives the cycle is contractible. This is a contradiction as the cycle is non-contractible. Therefore, the graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components where none of them is proper tree. This completes the proof of the lemma 9.1. □

LEMMA **5.2** *Let H_1 be a proper graph of type-III in M and C_1 be its dual in K. Suppose, graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(H_1))$ consists two components, say G_1 and G_2. None of G_1 and G_2 is proper tree. Then C_1 is a noncontractible separating cycle in K.*

PROOF OF LEMMA5.2 : The graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(H_1))$ has two components G_1 and G_2. That is, $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(H_1)) = G_1 \cup G_2$ and $G_1 \cap G_2 = \emptyset$. We show that C_1 is non-contractible. Suppose C_1 is contractible. Then, the cycle C_1 bounds 2-disk $|D|$. Consider dual of D which is one component of $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(H_1))$. Let dual of D be G_1. By remark 1, the graph G_1 is a proper tree. This is a contradiction as none of G_1 and G_2 is proper tree. So, the cycle C_1 is non-contractible. The graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E(H_1))$ is disconnected. So, by lemma 3.4, the cycle C_1 separating. Therefore, the cycle C_1 is noncontractible separating cycle. This completes the proof of the lemma 5.2. □

6 Proof of theorem 1 :

Let K be a polyhedral map on n vertices and M denote its dual map. Suppose, $C(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is non-separating Hamiltonian cycle. Let $G := (V, E)$ be dual graph corresponding to C in M. By lemma 3.1, the graph G consists of n edges. That is, $|E| = n$. By lemma 3.2, $\#E(G) \cap F = 2 \ \forall \ F \in F_M$ ($\#S$ denotes the number of elements). By lemma 3.3, there exists a face sequence, namely, $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ where $F_1 \cap F_n \in E$ and $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. By lemma 3.4, $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ is connected. Therefore, the graph G is a proper graph of type-I.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a proper graph of type-I. By the third property of G, there exists a face sequence, say $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ of M where $F_1 \cap F_n \in E$ and $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let u_i be dual vertex corresponding to face F_i in K. By definition, $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$ is an edge in G

which is common edge between the faces F_i and F_{i+1}. So, the edge $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$ is dual of u_iu_{i+1}. Put, u_iu_{i+1} in a set, say E_1. The sets $F_i \cap F_{i+1}$ for $i \in \{1, 2, \ldots, n-1\}$ contain exactly one edge. So, the set E_1 contains exactly n edges. Thus, $E_1 = \{u_1u_2, u_2u_3, \ldots, u_iu_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}u_n, u_nu_1\}$. Define $C := C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_n)$. The cycle C contains all the vertices of K. So, C is Hamiltonian. Again, $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ is connected. By lemma 3.5, C is non-separating. Therefore, $EG(K)$ contains non-separating Hamiltonian cycles. This completes the proof of theorem 1. □

7 Proof of theorem 2 :

Let K be a polyhedral map on n vertices and M denote its dual. Suppose $C(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is a contractible Hamiltonian cycle. Let $G := (V, E)$ be dual graph corresponding to C in M. We use similar argument of theorem 1. By lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, G is an admissible graph. By lemma 4.1, the graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components and one of them is proper tree. Therefore, the graph G is a proper graph of type-II.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a proper graph of type-II. We follow similar argument of theorem 1. By the third property of G, there exists a sequence of faces, say $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ in M where $F_1 \cap F_n \in E$ and $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let u_i be dual vertex corresponding to face F_i. This gives an edge set $\{u_1u_2, u_2u_3, \ldots, u_iu_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}u_n, u_nu_1\}$ in K. Define $C := C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_n)$. The cycle C contains all the vertices of K. So, C is Hamiltonian. Graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ is of type-II. So, it has two components and one of them is proper tree. By lemma 4.2, C is contractible. Therefore, $EG(K)$ contains contractible Hamiltonian cycles. This completes the proof of theorem 2. □

8 Proof of theorem 3 :

Let K be a polyhedral map on n vertices and M denote its dual. Suppose $C(u_1, \ldots, u_n)$ is a noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle. Let $G := (V, E)$ be dual graph corresponding to C in M. We use similar argument of theorem 1. By lemma 3.1, 3.2 and 3.3, graph G is admissible. By lemma 9.1, the graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components and none of them is proper tree. Therefore, the graph G is a proper graph of type-III.

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a proper graph of type-III. We follow similar argument of theorem 1 to show the dual of G is a Hamiltonian cycle. By the third property of G, there exists a sequence of faces, say $F_1, F_2, \ldots, F_n$ of M where $F_1 \cap F_n \in E$ and $F_i \cap F_{i+1} \in E$ for $1 \leq i \leq n-1$. Let u_i be dual vertex corresponding to face F_i. This gives an edge set $\{u_1u_2, u_2u_3, \ldots, u_iu_{i+1}, \ldots, u_{n-1}u_n, u_nu_1\}$ in K. Define $C := C(u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_i, u_{i+1}, \ldots, u_n)$. The cycle C contains all the vertices of K. So, C is Hamiltonian. Graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus E)$ has two components and none of them is proper tree. So by lemma 5.2, C is noncontractible and separating. Therefore, $EG(K)$ contains noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycles. This completes the proof of theorem 3. □

9 Properties of proper tree in equivelar triangulation :

Let K be a triangulated map on n vertices and M be its dual. Then we claim that,

LEMMA **9.1** *Let T be a tree in M. Tree T satisfies the following three properties of proper tree.*

1. *Whenever two vertices u_1 and u_2 of T lie on a face F in M, a path $P[u_1, u_2]$ joining u_1 and u_2 in the boundary ∂F of F is a subtree of T,*

2. *any path P in T which lies in a face F of M is of length at most $q-2$, where $q =$ length of (∂F), and*

3. *T touches all the faces of M.*

Then, $\#V(T) = n-2$.

Proof of Lemma9.1 : Let $\#V(T) = m < (n-2)$. Consider dual of T in K. We get a 2-disk D_T which consists of m triangles. So, the cycle ∂D_T contains $m+2$ number of vertices. By assumption, $m < (n-2)$. That is, $(m+2) < n$. So, we get a vertex u in K which is not belongs to ∂D_T. Take dual of u in M and denote it by F_u. Since, $u \notin \partial D_T$, so T does not touch F_u. This a contradiction as T touches all the faces of M. Hence, $\#V(T) = m \geq (n-2)$. Suppose, $\#V(T) = m > (n-2)$. Similarly, consider dual of T in K. Since, T satisfies 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} property of proper tree, so we get a 2-disk D_T, see [18]. The 2-disk D_T consists of m triangles. So, the cycle ∂D_T contains $m+2$ number of vertices. That is, $m+2 > n$ as $m > n-2$. But, map K consists of n vertices. So, there is a repetition of vertices on the boundary of D_T. Thus, D_T is not a 2-disk. This is a contradiction. Therefore, $m = n-2$. □

10 Proof of theorem 4 :

Let K be a q-equivelar triangulated map on n vertices and M be its dual. The map M is of type $\{q, 3\}$. Cut M along the generators. It gives a planer representation of M and denote it by N_1. Planer representation N_1 consists of only q-gons. We redefine the planer representation N_1 as follows : let F_0 be a face in N_1. There are exactly q number of adjacent faces and each face has an edge which is intersection with the face F_0. Consider these q faces and identify with F_0. We denote it by L. Delete faces of L from N_1. Put unidentified edges of L in a set, say H_1 which are not repeated. Then, consider faces F_i in N_1 such that $F_i \cap H_1 \neq \emptyset$ and identify with the faces of L. Delete those F_i from N_1. Continue until edges in L either identified or repeated exactly twice. Thus, we get a planer representation from N_1 and denote it by N. Now, we construct a proper tree $T = (V, E)$ in M. Consider all the repeated edges of N and put in a set, say B. Let $F(u_1, \ldots, u_q)$ be a face of N where $E(F) \cap B = \emptyset$. The face $F(u_1, \ldots, u_q)$ denotes a q-gon which is bounded by the cycle $C(u_1, \ldots, u_q)$. The face F exists as M is a polyhedral map. Consider path $P(u_1 \to \cdots \to u_{q-1})$. Length of P is $q-2$. Put, $V = \{u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_{q-1}\}$, $E = \{u_1u_2, u_2u_3, \ldots, u_{q-2}u_{q-1}\}$ and $D = \{F\} \cup \{F_l \in F(N) | F \cap F_l \neq \emptyset\}$. The vertex $u_q \notin V$ and edges $u_{q-1}u_q$, $u_qu_1 \notin E$. There are two faces at u_q except F, say F_1 and F_2. Let $F_i(u_{q-1}, u_q, w_2, w_3, \ldots, w_{q-1}) \cap B = \emptyset$. Here, $\#F_i \cap V = 1$. The cycle ∂F_i consists of two paths $P_{i1}(w_{q-2} \to w_{q-1} \to u_{q-1} \to u_q \to w_2 \to w_3)$ and $P_{i2}(w_3 \to w_4 \to \cdots \to w_{q-2})$ such that $P_{i1} \cup P_{i2} = \partial F_i$ and all adjacent faces of $P_{i1} \setminus \{w_{q-2}, w_3\}$ are in D. Consider adjacent faces of P_{i2} in $F(N) \setminus D$ and put in D. We also put the edges of P_{i2} and edges $u_{q-1}w_{q-1}, w_{q-1}w_{q-2}$ in E and vertices $w_3, w_4, \ldots, w_{q-1}$ in V. We continue with this process for the faces $F_x \in D$ such that $\#F_x \cap V = 1$ and $F_x \cap B = \emptyset$. Let F_j be a face such that $F_j \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $F_j \cap B = \emptyset$. The cycle ∂F_j consists of two paths P_{j1} and P_{j2} such that $\partial F_j = P_{j1} \cup P_{j2}$, $E(P_{j1}) \cap E(P_{j2}) = \emptyset$ and P_{j1} is part of tree T. Let z, w be two end vertices of P_{j2} and P_{j1}, $P_{j1}(w \to x_1 \to \cdots \to x_r \to z)$ and $P_{j2}(w \to y_1 \to \cdots \to y_s \to z)$. Consider

adjacent faces of P_{j2} in $F(N) \setminus D$ and put in D. We put the vertices of $P_{j2} \setminus \{z, y_s\}$ in V and the edges of $P_{j2} \setminus \{z, y_s\}$ in E. We continue with the above process for the faces $F_x \in D$ such that $F_x \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $F_x \cap B = \emptyset$. There are three faces at each vertex and any two faces have a common edge. All the faces have same length. So, at each step, we choose a face F_0 in D. There are the following two possibilities. Either all adjacent faces of F_0 are in D or some are not in D. Suppose, some adjacent faces of F_0 are not in D. We have the following three possibilities. Either $F_0 \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $F_0 \cap B = \emptyset$, $\#F_0 \cap V = 1$ and $F_0 \cap B = \emptyset$ or $F_0 \cap B \neq \emptyset$. When $F_0 \cap B \neq \emptyset$ then do not consider. When $F_0 \cap E \neq \emptyset$ and $F_0 \cap B = \emptyset$ or $\#F_0 \cap V = 1$ and $F_0 \cap B = \emptyset$ then we have the above two cases. Therefore, if there is a face which is not in D then we consider face in D by the following way. Let F_k be a face which is not in D and $E(F_k) \cap B = \emptyset$. We get a sequence faces, say F_{j1}, F_{j2}, ..., $F_{jr} = F_k$ where $F_{j1} \in D$ and $F_{js} \in F(N) \setminus D$ for $2 \leq s \leq r$. Since, $V(F_{js}) \cap V = \emptyset$ for $2 \leq s \leq r$, so, we follow the above argument for F_{j2} and then, F_{j3} and continue. At the end, we consider the face F_k. So, we follow the above argument for the faces whose have none of the edges in B and none of the vertices in V. Similarly, if there is a face F_x which contains edges of B and has empty disjoint with V. In this case, we get a face sequence, say F_{x1}, F_{x2}, ..., $F_{xt} = F_x$ where $F_{x1} \in D$ and $F_{xs} \in F(N) \setminus D$ for $2 \leq s \leq t$. Since $V(F_{xs}) \cap V = \emptyset$ for $2 \leq s \leq t$, so, we follow the above argument for F_{x2} and then, F_{x3} and continue. At the end, we consider the face $F_{x(t-1)}$. Hence the face F_x will be in D as $F_{x(t-1)}$ has a common edge with F_x. So, we follow this argument for the faces whose have some edges in B and none of the vertices in V. This gives a tree T which does not contain any vertices of B. Thus, we get a tree T which satisfies the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} property of proper tree. The set D contains all the faces of $F(N)$. That is, T touches all the faces of M. So, by lemma 9.1, $\#V(T) = n - 2$. Therefore, T is a proper tree. By theorem 5, M contains a proper graph of type-II. So, by theorem 2, the map K contains a contractible Hamiltonian cycle. Therefore, K is Hamiltonian. This completes the proof of the theorem 4. □

11 Proper tree and proper graph of type-II :

Let M be a polyhedral map and K denote its dual. We claim the following theorem.

THEOREM **5** *The edge graph $EG(K)$ contains a proper tree if and only if $EG(K)$ contains a proper graph of type-II.*

PROOF OF THEOREM5 : The map K is dual of M. Let T be a proper tree in $EG(K)$. Consider a graph $G(V, E)$ where $E = \{e \in EG(K) \mid V(e) \cap V(T) \neq \emptyset \ \& \ e \notin E(T)\}$ and $V = \cup_{e \in E} V(e)$. We claim that G is a proper graph of type-II. We first show that the dual of G is a contractible Hamiltonian cycle. Then, by theorem 2, G is a proper graph of type-II. Therefore, we only show that the dual of G is a contractible Hamiltonian cycle. Let T be dual of 2-disk D which is a tree. The boundary ∂D is a Hamiltonian cycle and denote it by C. Let e be an edge of C. We show that dual of e, say $e_d = uv$ belongs to E. The edge e belongs to a face, say $F(\in D)$. So, the dual vertex corresponding to F belongs to e_d. That is, $V(e_d) \cap V(T) \neq \emptyset$. Let F_u and F_v be dual faces corresponding to u and v respectively. Since $e \in F$, so, either $F_u = F$ or $F_v = F$. Let $F_u = F$. By assumption $e = F_u \cap F_v$. If $F_v \in D$. Then, $e \notin \partial |D|$. Thus, $F_v \notin D$. That is, $e_d \notin E(T)$. Again, $V(e_d) \cap V(T) \neq \emptyset$. Hence, $e_d \in G$. Therefore, dual edges corresponding to edges of C belongs to G. Suppose, there is an edge $e1$ which belongs to G. Then, $V(e1) \cap V(T) \neq \emptyset$ and $e1 \notin E(T)$. Suppose, it is not dual of any edge of C. By assumption, $V(e1) \cap V(T) \neq \emptyset$. So, the dual say, $e1_d$

belongs to interior of D. This implies that there are two faces in D whose common edge is $e1$. So, by duality, the dual edge $e1_d$ belongs to the tree T. This is a contradiction as $e1 \notin E(T)$. Therefore, the graph G is the dual of C. Thus, the graph G and T define the same cycle. So, by theorem 2, G is a proper graph of type-II.

Let $G_1(V_1, E_1)$ be a proper graph of type-II and C_1 be dual of G_1. By theorem 2, the cycle C_1 is contractible Hamiltonian cycle. It bounds a 2-disk, say D_1. Consider dual of D_1 and denote it by T_1. We show that it is a proper tree. We follow the converse part of the *Theorem 2*[12]. It says that every contractible Hamiltonian cycle gives a proper tree in K. So, we consider the 2-disk D_1 and follow the argument of *Theorem 2*[12]. This gives, the graph T_1 is a proper tree. This completes the proof of the theorem 5. □

***Remark* 1** *Let T be a proper tree in K. Consider a graph $G := \{e \in EG(K) \mid V(e) \cap V(T) \neq \emptyset\}$. We denote $G_1 := G \setminus T$. By the proof of theorem 5, the graph G_1 is a proper graph of type-II. Therefore, the graph G can be decompose into proper tree and proper graph of type-II. That is, $G = G_1 \cup T$.*

12 The steps for searching Hamiltonian cycle in equivelar maps :

The following steps may be implemented as a computer program to search contractible, non-separating and noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycles. We use definition of face sequence and some notations of lemma 3.4 in the following algorithms.

ALGORITHM **1** *Let $EG(K_1)$ be the edge graph of a $\{p, q\}$ equivelar map K_1 and $\#V(EG(K_1)) = n$. Let the set K contains faces of the polyhedral map K_1. We follow the following steps.*

1. *Construct dual of K. It is a set say, $M := \{F_1, \ldots, F_n\}$ of faces where $|M|$ is dual of K_1.*
2. *Put all edges of M in E_M.*
3. *The number of vertices of K is n. That is, $\#V(K) = n$. Let $S_1 = \emptyset$. Then, consider n edges from E_M and put in S_1. We follow the following steps.*
   - *A. If $\#F \cap S_1 = 2$ for all $F \in M$ then we continue to next step. Otherwise, we consider another possible n edges from E_M and continue.*
   - *B. Let $S_2 = \emptyset$. Put, dual edges of S_1 in S_2. Now, there are two possibilities.*
     - *a. Check existence of a face sequence $FS(F_{t_1}, F_{t_n})$ in M such that $CEFS(F_{t_1}, F_{t_n}) = S_1$. Suppose, such face sequence exists. By the argument of theorem 1, the set S_2 is a cycle.*
     - *b. Suppose, there is no such sequence $FS(F_{t_1}, F_{t_n})$. We have $F \cap S_1$ $\forall$ $F \in M$. So, we get disjoint collections of faces, namely, $H_1, \ldots, H_r$ in M where each H_i has above property (a). That is, let $H_i = \{F_{i_1}, \ldots, F_{i_m}\}$. Then, $F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_m} \in S_1$ and $F_{i_j} \cap F_{i_{j+1}} \in S_1$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Let $e_i = F_{i_1} \cap F_{i_m}$ and $e_j = F_{i_j} \cap F_{i_{j+1}}$ for $1 \leq j \leq m-1$. Consider dual edges corresponding to e_i and put in T_i. The set $\{e_1, \ldots, e_m\}$ satisfies the 2^{nd} and 3^{rd} property of Definition 1. So, T_i is a cycle. Thus, S_2 contains r disjoint cycles.*

C. *If $r = 1$ then, we consider S_2. This is Hamiltonian cycle. If $r > 1$ then we go to step* (3). *Choose another possible n edges from E_M and continue.*

4. *When S_2 is a Hamiltonian cycle then we go to the following steps to classify the cycle.*

   (i) *Suppose, $\frac{n-2}{p-2}$ is not an integer. We use the result of [12]. Thus, S_2 is non-contractible Hamiltonian cycle. To classify further, consider the set $G(V_M, E_M \setminus S_1)$. We have the following two cases.*

      a. *If $G(V_M, E_M \setminus S_1)$ is connected, then, the cycle S_2 is separating Hamiltonian cycle (by theorem 1).*

      b. *If $G(V_M, E_M \setminus S_1)$ has two components and none of them is proper tree, then, the cycle S_2 is noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle (by theorem 3).*

   (ii) *Suppose $\frac{n-2}{p-2}$ is an integer. We check graph $G(V_M, E_M \setminus S_1)$. We go to the following cases.*

      a. *If $G(V_M, E_M \setminus S_1)$ is connected, then, the cycle S_2 is separating Hamiltonian cycle (by theorem 1).*

      b. *If $G(V_M, E_M \setminus S_1)$ has two components and one of them is proper tree, then, the cycle S_2 is contractible Hamiltonian cycle (by theorem 2).*

      c. *If $G(V_M, E_M \setminus S_1)$ has two components and none of them is proper tree, then, the cycle S_2 is noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle (by theorem 3).*

5. *We stop unless we get contractible, non-separating and noncontractible separating Hamiltonian cycle (if exists) after finite steps.*

13 The steps for searching a non-contractible Hamiltonian cycle in equivelar maps :

The following steps (using backtracking) may be implemented as a computer program to locate non-contractible Hamiltonian cycles :

ALGORITHM **2** *Let $EG(K)$ be the edge graph of a $\{p, q\}$ equivelar map K and $\#V(EG(K)) = n$. Let M be the set of all p-gonal faces and i denote the number of steps. We construct two set D and V as follows : choose an element $P_0 \in M$. Define $D := \{P_0\}$, $V := \{V(P_0)\}$ and $i = 1$. We have either $\#V = \#V(EG(K))$ or $\#V < \#V(EG(K))$. We go to the following steps.*

1. *If $\#V = \#V(EG(K))$. We go to the step* (3).

2. *If $\#V < \#V(EG(K))$, then, we observe the set D at i^{th} and $(i+1)^{th}$ steps. Let $v \in V(K) \setminus V$. By the* Algorithm 1*[12], there exists a face P such that $v \in V(P)$, $V(P) \cap V = \{v_1, v_2\}$ and $E(P) \cap E(P_1) = \{\{v_1, v_2\}\}$ for some $P_1 \in D$. Then put $D = D \cup \{P\}$, $V = V \cup V(P)$ and $i = i + 1$. We check the sets D and $D \cup \{P\}$. If $D \cup \{P\}$ is a 2-disk then we put $D = D \cup \{P\}$ and $V = V \cup V(P)$ and $i = i + 1$. Go to the next step and continue. Do this until we get either $V = V(EG(K))$ or D is a 2-disk and $D \cup \{P\}$ is not a 2-disk. Then, we go to next step* (3).

3. *If $V = V(EG(K))$, then, we add a face P_2 of $M \setminus D$ in D. The geometric carrier $\partial|D \cup P_2|$ contains more than one cycle as $V(P_2) \subset V$. Denote it by C_1. Otherwise, at the end of processes in step* (2)*, we get a face F whose at least one vertex is already in V. In this case, we consider the geometric carrier $\partial|D \cup F|$ which contains at least one cycle and denote it by C_1. Here, at both the cases, the cycle C_1 does not bound any* 2*-disk. So, the cycle is non-contractible. Put set $V_1 := V(C_1)$. We go to next step.*

   (a) *If $\#V_1 = \#V(EG(K))$. We stop here. We get a non-contractible Hamiltonian cycle C_1*

   (b) *If $\#V_1 < \#V(EG(K))$, then, there is a vertex in $V(K) \setminus V$. We follow the step* (2)*. We get a new face P_3 at j^{th} step. The cycle C_1 and ∂P_3 have common path. So, we concatenate C_1 and ∂P_3 if the concatenate cycle has length bigger than the length of C_1. We get new cycle. Denote it by C_1 and put, $V = V(C_1)$ and $j = j + 1$. Go to next step and continue. Do this until we get $V_1 = V(EG(K))$. This gives a non-contractible Hamiltonian cycle C_1.*

We stop unless we get a non-contractible Hamiltonian cycle (if exists) after finite steps.

14 Acknowledgement

Work of second author is partially supported by SERB, DST grant No. SR/S4/MS:717/10.

References

[1] A. Altshuler : Construction and enumeration of regular maps on the torus, *Discrete Math.* (**4**) (1973), 201–217.

[2] Dan Archdeacon : Topological graph theory : a survey (surveys in graph theory) *(San Francisco, CA, 1995).*

[3] J. A. Bondy and U. S. R. Murthy: *Graph theory with applications*, North Holland, Amsterdam, 1982.

[4] U. Brehm and E. Schulte:Polyhedral Maps: Handbook of Discrete and Computational Geometry, Goodman, J. E. and ORourke, J. (eds.), CRC Press, (1997), 345-358.

[5] R. Brunet, A. Nakamoto and S. Negami: Every 5-connected triangulations of the Klein bottle is Hamiltonian, *Yokohama Math. Journal*, **47**, (1999), 239 - 244.

[6] R. Brunet and R. B. Richter: Hamiltonicity of 5-connected toroidal triangulations. *J. Graph Theory* **20**,(1995), 267 -286.

[7] J. Fujisawa, A. Nakamoto and K. Ozeki: Hamilton cycles in bipartite toroidal graphs with a partite set of degree four vertices, (preprint)*http://www.fbc.keio.ac.jp/∼fujisawa/papers.html*

[8] B. Grünbaum : Polytopes, graphs and complexes, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **76**, (1970), 1131 - 1201.

[9] M. Hachimori : Combinatorics of constructible complexes, PhD thesis (Univ. of Tokyo), 2000.

[10] Ken-ichi Kawarabayashi and K. Ozeki: Hamilton cycles in 4-connected troidal triangulations. *Electronic Notes in Discrete Math.* **38**,(2011), 493-498.

[11] Dana Lynn Gaston Jennings: Separating cycles in triangulations of the double torus, *Thesis (Ph.D.)Vanderbilt University*, 2003

[12] D. Maity and A. K. Upadhyay: Contractible Hamiltonian Cycles in Polyhedral Maps, *Discrete Math. Algorithms Appl.* **4** (2012)

[13] D. Maity and A. K. Upadhyay: Hamiltonian Cycle in Semi-Equivelar Maps on the Torus, http://arxiv.org/abs/1308.6717

[14] P. McMullen and E. Schulte: *Abstract Regular Polytopes*, CUP, 2002.

[15] B. Mohar and C. Thomassen: *Graphs on Surfaces*, The John Hopkins Univ. Press, 2001.

[16] R. Thomas and X. Yu: Five-connected toroidal graphs are Hamiltonian. *J. Combin. Theory Ser. B* **69**,(1997), 79-96.

[17] W. T. Tutte: A theorem on planar graphs, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **82**, (1956), 99 - 116.

[18] A. K. Upadhyay: Contractible Hamiltonian Cycles in Triangulated Surfaces, *Elemente der Mathematik* **69** (1), 23–29